

Representation Space and Holomorphic Bundles ^{*}

HU Xin-min

(Department of Mathematics, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275)

Abstract: The representation space of the fundamental group of a closed, oriented Riemannian surface is identified with the moduli space of the holomorphic bundles of rank 2 with trivial determinant bundles over the surface and these spaces are proved to carry a projective structure.

Keywords: moduli space; unitary representation; (semi-) stable

CLC number: O189.3; O152.6 **Document code:** A

1 Representation space and the moduli space

Casson used the representation space of the fundamental group of a closed, oriented surface in $SU(2)$ to define the so-called Casson invariant for the homology 3-spheres. Donaldson^[1] proved: the space of the irreducible representations of the fundamental group of a closed, oriented surface in $SU(2)$ can be identified with the moduli space of stable holomorphic vector bundles of rank 2 and with trivial determinant bundles. Atiyah et al discussed the deep connections between this moduli space and the Yang-Mills theory. In this paper, Donaldson's result to semi-stable bundles is extended and it's proved that the moduli space is a projective variety.

For the definitions of (semi-) stable holomorphic bundles and related notations, please refer to paper [2].

Let Σ be a closed, oriented Riemann surface and denote by V_{ss}^0 the set of semi-stable holomorphic bundles over Σ of rank 2 and with trivial determinant bundles and by M_0 the set of equivalence classes of V_{ss}^0 under S -equivalence denote by M the set of the conjugate classes of the representations of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ in $SU(2)$. Then the following main theorem is obtained.

Theorem As a set, $M_0 = M$

In order to prove this theorem, the following lemmas are suggested.

Lemma 1 Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), SU(2))$. Then the holomorphic $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -principal bundles $P(\rho_1)$ and $P(\rho_2)$ corresponding to ρ_1 and ρ_2 are isomorphic if and only if the representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 are conjugate.

Proof If ρ_1 is conjugate to ρ_2 , then it is easy to see that $P(\rho_1)$ is isomorphic to $P(\rho_2)$. On the other hand, if $P(\rho_1)$ and $P(\rho_2)$ are isomorphic, there exists a holomorphic function

* 基金项目: 中山大学高等学术研究中心基金资助项目(96M5, 028C601)

收稿日期: 1999-05-07 作者简介: 胡新民(1965 ~) 男, 讲师.

$$f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

such that $f(m\alpha) = \rho_1^{-1}(\alpha) f(m) \rho_2(\alpha)$

where $\alpha \in \pi_1(\Sigma)$, $m \in \Sigma$ and Σ is the universal covering space of Σ .

Now consider the positive definite Hermite form $\mathrm{tr}(A^*A)$ on $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, where $A \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Define $\varphi(m\alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|f(m\alpha)\|^2 = \mathrm{tr}(\rho_2(\alpha)^* f(m)^* \rho_1^{-1}(\alpha)^* \rho_1^{-1}(\alpha) f(m) \rho_2(\alpha)) =$

$$\mathrm{tr}(f(m)^* f(m)) = \|f(m)\|^2 = \varphi(m)$$

Hence, φ is a holomorphic function on Σ . Because Σ is compact and connected, φ is a constant function. Because $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is holomorphic and $\|f(m)\|^2$ is constant, f must be constant on Σ by the Maximum Principle. Let this value be A , then

$$A = \rho_1^{-1}(\alpha) A \rho_2(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in \pi_1(\Sigma)$$

It can be shown that $A = UB$, where $U \in U(2)$, and B is a triangular complex matrix with positive real diagonals.

By $\det(A) = 1$, $\det(B) = \lambda > 0$, one has that $U \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$. In fact, from the facts that $U^*U = I$ (the identity matrix) and $\det(U) = 1/\lambda$, one has $\lambda = 1$.

It is easy to see that $\rho_1(\alpha) = U^{-1} \rho_2(\alpha) U$, $\forall \alpha \in \pi_1(\Sigma)$

Lemma 2^[3] Let V, W be two semi-stable holomorphic vector bundles over Σ with the same rank and degree and one of them be stable. If $f: V \rightarrow W$ is a nonzero bundle mapping, then f is an isomorphism.

Proof of theorem If $V \in V_{ss}^0$ is stable, then by paper [2], V can be obtained from a 2-dimensional irreducible unitary representation ρ of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$, i. e., $V = \Sigma \times_{\rho} \mathbb{C}^2$. Because $\det(V)$ is holomorphically trivial, $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), \mathrm{SU}(2))$.

Let $V_1, V_2 \in V_{ss}^0$ be S -equivalent. By Lemma 1, if $V_1, V_2 \in V_{ss}^0$ are stable and $\mathrm{gr}V_1 = \mathrm{gr}V_2$, then their corresponding representations are conjugate.

If $V \in V_{ss}^0$ is not stable, there exists a holomorphic line bundle $L \subset V$ such that the degrees of L and V/L are zero. After a suitable choice of an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of Σ , the transition function of V is of the following form: $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{ij} & * \\ 0 & \psi_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$, where φ_{ij} (or ψ_{ij}) is the transition functions of L (or V/L).

Since $\det(V)$ is holomorphically trivial, so $\varphi_{ij} \psi_{ij} = 1$. Therefore, if $\rho: \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow U(1) = S^1$ is the representation corresponding to L , then the representation corresponding to $\mathrm{gr}V = L \oplus V/L$ is of the form: $\rho \oplus \rho^{-1}$, and from Lemma 1, if $\mathrm{gr}V_1 = \mathrm{gr}V_2$, then their corresponding representations are conjugate. Now, let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), \mathrm{SU}(2))$ be conjugate.

1) If ρ_1, ρ_2 are irreducible representations, then their corresponding holomorphic vector bundles are isomorphic.

2) If ρ_1, ρ_2 are reducible, then ρ_1, ρ_2 are conjugate to some elements in $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), U(1))$, respectively, and it is easy to see that their corresponding holomorphic vector bundles are semi-stable and isomorphic. This completes the proof of theorem.

2 A proof of that M_0 is a projective variety

For geometric quotient of an algebraic variety under the actions of an algebraic group, see papers[4,5],

Proposition M_0 is a projective variety.

Lemma 3^[3] Let E be a semi-stable holomorphic vector bundle of rank n and degree $d > n(2g-1)$ over Σ , where g is the genus of Σ . Then E is generated by its global sections, that is, the fiber E_x over $x \in \Sigma$ is generated by the global sections of E . Moreover one has $H^1(\Sigma, E) = 0$.

By Riemann-Roch Theorem, if E satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3, then $\dim H^0(\Sigma, E) = d + n(1-g)$, denoted by p .

Fix a holomorphic line bundle L of degree $l > 0$ over Σ , and paper[2] gives

1) E is stable (semi-stable) $\Leftrightarrow E \otimes L$ is stable (semi-stable);

2) $\text{gr } V_1 = \text{gr } V_2 \Leftrightarrow \text{gr } (V_1 \otimes L) = \text{gr } (V_2 \otimes L)$

If $V \in V_{ss}^0$, then $\det(V \otimes L) = \det(L \otimes L)$, $\det(V \otimes L) = 2l$. Let $M(2, 2l, L \otimes L)$ be the moduli space of the semi-stable holomorphic vector bundles of rank 2, degree $2l$ and the determinant bundle $L \otimes L$ over Σ under S -equivalence. Then $M_0 = M(2, 2l, L \otimes L)$. Now, assume that $d = 2l$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.

For a semi-stable holomorphic vector bundle E with $\text{rk}(E) = 2$, $\deg(E) = d > 2(2g-1)$ over Σ , choose a basis $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\}$ of $H^0(\Sigma, E)$, which will define an isomorphism between $H^0(\Sigma, E)$ and C^p , denoted by φ_S .

There exists a natural mapping $q: \Lambda^2 H^0(\Sigma, E) \rightarrow H^0(\Sigma, \Lambda^2 E)$, given by $(s_i \wedge s_j)(x) = s_i(x) \wedge s_j(x)$.

Now by Lemma 2, there exists an isomorphism: $H^0(\Sigma, \Lambda^2 E) \rightarrow H^0(\Sigma, L \otimes L)$. Moreover, this isomorphism is unique up to a scalar multiplier. Here we assume that $\det(E) = L \otimes L$.

So comes a mapping $T_{E, S}: \Lambda^2 C^p \rightarrow W = H^0(\Sigma, L \otimes L)$ such that the following digram is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^2 H^0(\Sigma, E) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_S} & \Lambda^2 C^p \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow T_{E, S} \end{array}$$

commutative: Obviously, if E is holomorphically

$$H^0(\Sigma, \Lambda^2 E) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(\Sigma, L \otimes L)$$

equivalent to E' , then $T_{E, S} = T_{E', S'}$. On the other hand, if $T_{E, S} = T_{E', S'}$ and E, E' are generated by their global sections, then E is holomorphically equivalent to E' . In fact, since E is generated by its global sections, there exists a mapping $\Sigma \rightarrow G(2, p)$, where $G(2, p)$ is the Grassmann manifold of 2-dimensional subspaces of C^p , which can be embedded into $P(\Lambda^2 C^p)$. This mapping induces an embedding of Σ into $P(\Lambda^2 C^p)$, given by $s_i \wedge s_j$. Therefore $T_{E, S}$ determines the isomorphic class of E .

Therefore, $M(2, 2l, L \otimes L)$ can be embedded into $P(\text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 C^p, W))$.

The action of $SL(p, C)$ on C^p induces actions on $\Lambda^2 C^p$, $\text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 C^p, W)$ and $P(\text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 C^p, W))$.

Lemma 4 E is semi-stable (stable) if and only if $T_{E, S}$ is semi-stable (stable) with respect to the action of $SL(p, C)$.

Proof Here deal with the semi-stable case only. Let E be semi-stable, then $T_{E, S}$ is semi-stable. For E , there exists the Harder-Narasimhan filtration^[6], that is, there exists a holomorphic line bundle E_1 such that

$$0 \subset E_1 \subset E, \text{ and } \deg(E_1) > \deg(E)/2$$

The assumption of $\deg(E) > 2(2g-1)$ implies $\deg(E) > 2g-1$. By Lemma 3, $\dim H^1(\Sigma, E_1) = 0$, and E_1 is generated by $H^0(\Sigma, E_1)$. From the fact that $H^0(\Sigma, E_1) \subset H^0(\Sigma, E)$ and the Riemann-Roch Theorem,

$$\dim H^0(\Sigma, E_1) = \deg(E_1) + (1-g) > \deg(E)/2 + (1-g) = (\deg(E) + 2(1-g))/2 = p/2$$

By paper [6], it's obvious that the above inequality contradicts the assumption that $T_{E,s}$ is semi-stable.

Lemma 5 The moduli space of semi-stable holomorphic vector bundles of rank 2, degree $2l$ and the determinant bundle $L \otimes L$ over Σ under the S -equivalence can be embedded into the space $P(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 C^p, W)) // \text{SL}(p, C)$.

Proof First, if E is stable, from Lemma 1.9 of paper [3], $T_{E,s}$ is stable, hence the set $\pi^{-1}(\pi(T_{E,s}))$ consists exactly of the orbit of $T_{E,s}$ in $P(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 C^p, W))$, where

$$\pi: P(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 C^p, W)) \rightarrow P(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 C^p, W)) // \text{SL}(p, C)$$

$\pi(T_{E,s})$ corresponds to the isomorphic class of E . If E is semi-stable, so is $T_{E,s}$. In this case $\pi^{-1}(\pi(T_{E,s}))$ consists of some orbits in $P(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 C^p, W))$. If O, Q are semi-stable points in $P(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 C^p, W))$, then $\pi(O) = \pi(Q)$ if and only if the closure of the $\text{SL}(p, C)$ -orbit of O intersects the closure of the $\text{SL}(p, C)$ -orbit of Q .

According to paper[4], Let $O = T_{E,s}$, $Q = T_{E',s'}$, then the closure of the $\text{SL}(p, C)$ -orbit of O intersects the closure of the $\text{SL}(p, C)$ -orbit of Q if and only if $\text{gr}E = \text{gr}E'$. This completes the proof.

The set of the conjugate classes of irreducible representations is an open $(3g-3)$ -dimensional algebraic manifold^[2], therefore its compactification M_0 is also a projective variety.

References:

- [1] DONADSON S K. The geometry of four-manifolds[M]. London: Oxford University Press, 1990. 1 ~ 99.
- [2] NARASIMHAN M S, SESHADRI C S. Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact surface[J]. Ann Math, 1965, 82: 540 ~ 567.
- [3] SESHADRI C S. Space of unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface[J]. Ann Math, 1967, 84: 303 ~ 336.
- [4] MUMFORD D. Geometric invariant theory[M]. New York: Springer Verlag, 1965. 1 ~ 217.
- [5] GIESEKER D. Geometric invariant theory and applications to moduli problems[M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1982. 45 ~ 73.
- [6] HARDER G, NARASIMHAN M S. On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles over curves[J]. Math Ann, 1975, 212: 215 ~ 248.

表示空间与全纯向量丛

胡新民*

摘要: 证明了完备、定向曲面的基本群在 $\text{SU}(2)$ 中的表示空间可等同于该曲面上秩为 2 且具有平凡行列式丛的全纯向量丛的模空间, 并且它们具有射影结构.

关键词: 模空间; 酉表示; (半) 稳定

中图分类号: 0189.3; 0152.6

* 中山大学数学系, 广州 510275